



Universidade do Minho

## PUBLIC NOTICE

Rui Manuel Costa Vieira de Castro, Full Professor and Rector of the University of Minho, hereby announces that an international selection tender procedure for the hiring of one (1) **Assistant Professor** in the subject area of **Economics**, at the Department of Economics of the School of Economics and Management of this University, will be open for a period of 30 business days counting from the business day immediately following the date on which this notice is to be published in the *Diário da República* (Official Gazette of the Republic of Portugal).

This tender, created in accordance with Order made in 3<sup>rd</sup> of January of 2025 by the Rector of the University of Minho, shall observe the provisions written in articles 37 et seq. of the University Teaching Career Statutes (ECDU), as approved by Decree-Law no. 448/79 of November 13th, with the amendments introduced by Decree-Law no. 205/2009 of August 31st, altered by Law no. 8/2010 of May 13th, and other applicable laws and regulations, including the Regulation of Tenders for the Recruitment and Hiring of Teaching Staff at of the University Teaching Career at the University of Minho ("*Regulamento dos Concursos para Recrutamento e Contratação de Professores da Carreira Docente Universitária na Universidade do Minho*"), hereafter referred to as Regulations, as approved by the Rectoral Order no. 13353/2022, published in the *Diário da República*, 2nd Series, no. 222, of November 17th, 2022.

In accordance with Article 9 (h) of the Constitution of the Portuguese Republic, the Government, as an employer, actively promotes a policy of equal opportunities between men and women concerning access to employment and career development, thereby scrupulously taking precautionary measures so as to avoid any form of discrimination.

Similarly, no applicant may be privileged, benefited, harmed or deprived of any right or exempt from any duty on the grounds, in particular, of descent, age, sexual orientation, marital status, family situation, economic status, education, origin or condition, social status, genetic heritage, reduced work capacity, disability, chronic illness, nationality, ethnic origin or race, territory of origin, language, religion, political or ideological beliefs and trade union membership.

This notice is to be published in Portuguese in the *Diário da República* (Official Gazette of the Republic of Portugal), Series II, and on the website of the University of Minho, in Portuguese and in English; the Bolsa de Emprego Público (Public Employment Portal); and the EURAXESS portal in Portuguese and in English.

## I – CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TENDER

### 1 – Place of Work

The work is to take place in the Department of Economics of the School of Economics and Management of the University of Minho.

### 2 – Selection Panel

The selection panel shall be constituted as follows:

*Chair:* Rector of the University of Minho

*Other Members:*

Cesaltina Maria Pacheco Pires, Full Professor, Departamento de Gestão da Universidade de Évora.

Luís Francisco Gomes Dias Aguiar Conraria, Full Professor, Departamento de Economia da Escola de Economia e Gestão da Universidade do Minho.

Rosa Branca Silva Vilas Boas Esteves, Full Professor, Departamento de Economia da Escola de Economia e Gestão da Universidade do Minho.

Manuel Mota Freitas Martins, Associate Professor with Habilitation, Faculdade de Economia da Universidade do Porto.

Luís Filipe Farias de Sousa Martins, Associate Professor with Habilitation, Departamento de Economia do Iscte - Instituto Universitário de Lisboa.

### **3 – Rules governing the selection panel**

**3.1** The selection panel shall be responsible for the following procedures:

- a)** Admission and exclusion of applications;
- b)** Approval or non-approval in absolute merit of admitted applicants;
- c)** Application of the selection method and criteria and of the final assessment and classification system;
- d)** Final ranking of admitted applicants who have been approved on absolute merit;
- e)** Public hearing of the applicants;
- f)** Reply to the allegations submitted by applicants within the hearing of the interested parties, before the tender's results homologation.

**3.2** In order to fulfil the procedures mentioned in the preceding point, the selection panel may hold one or more meetings that shall observe the following rules:

- a)** Each meeting shall only be held if at least two thirds of its members be present and the majority of the members of the panel be external;
- b)** Notwithstanding to the provisions stated in the subsequent point, the Chair of the panel has the authority to decide to hold the meetings by teleconference (at the same time on a separate place);
- c)** All decisions regarding the procedures mentioned in point 3.1 (a) and (b) above may be made in meetings by teleconference (at the same time on a separate place);
- d)** All decisions regarding the procedures mentioned in point 3.1 (d) and (e) above must be made in face-to-face meetings (at the same time on the same place), and/or in meetings held by teleconference or telematic means, provided that the necessary technical conditions are ensured.

**3.3** The selection panel decides by means of a nominal vote, provided there be an absolute majority of the votes of the members present in the meeting and that the majority of the members of the panel be external to the University of Minho. Abstentions are not allowed.

**3.4** The minutes of the panel meetings, together with a summary of what happened during those meetings, the mode of participation and the votes cast by each member of the panel and their respective reasoning, shall be written and shall be available to applicants whenever requested.

**3.5** The Chair of the Panel has a quality vote which is exercised solely in two circumstances: when they are a teacher or a researcher in the same disciplinary area of the tender; or in the event a tie..

## **II – ADMISSION RULES**

### **4 – Submission of applications**

**4.1** Applications must be submitted through a formal request, in PDF format, addressed to the Rector of the University of Minho, fully completed in the terms set out in Annex I, dated and signed.

**4.2** The application must be accompanied by the following documents:

- a)** A digital copy of the applicant's detailed curriculum vitae, dated and signed. The curriculum vitae should contain all the pertinent information for a proper assessment of the application taking into consideration the procedures mentioned in point 3.1 above. It must also be organised according to the assessment criteria and parameters described in points 9 and 10 of this announcement. It should also enclose the applicant's respective identifiers in indexing services of scientific publications, namely "ORCID ID", "Scopus Author ID", "Researcher ID", "Google Scholar ID" and, for each of the publications mentioned in the curriculum vitae, it must be stated whether said publication is indexed in the ISI Web of Science service or in the Scopus service, with the corresponding evidence.

The document must also detail the number of citations of each of the publications and explain, in sufficient detail, the methods used for citation counting so that the panel can reproduce such procedure.

**b)** One digital copy of a document that compiles up to 3 publications selected by the applicant as being the most representative of the applicant's work in terms of his/her contributions to the generation of new knowledge based on new ideas and hypotheses and its relevance and impact to the subject area of the application, clearly stating the date and place (publisher) for each publication. For each selected publication, the document must state the reason why said publication was chosen by the applicant bearing in mind its contribution to the subject area of the application. Should a digital copy not be available, it can be replaced by paper copies in the same number as the members composing the panel.

**c)** A scientific and educational project presented in a document, in digital form, that (s)he intends to develop within the subject area of the application. The description of this project must not exceed 12,000 words, including references and appendices, and must contain a research programme in the subject area of the application. Said research programme shall properly relate to the course units that compose the obligatory course units of the degree in Economics assigned to the organic sub-unit that requested the opening of this application, thus proving the suitability of the profile of the applicant regarding the actual needs of said organic sub-unit, namely the reinforcement of its teaching team, justifying this public notice. The scientific and educational project must clearly and justifiably be based on the applicant's scientific contribution to the subject area of the application and reveal his/her vision for the development of the area, showing that the applicant has the necessary capacity to perform the duties associated with the category and area of the application. The candidate must specify on the first page of the scientific-pedagogical project the total number of words.

**d)** A certificate, in digital form, proving that the applicant holds the doctoral degree (PhD) required to apply for the tender and the date in which it was obtained and, where applicable, a certificate attesting that the Doctoral degree has been recognized as such, as laid down in sections 5.2 and 5.3 .of this announcement.

**e)** One electronic copy of the Doctoral thesis and so the panel is able to properly assess the applicant's suitability to the subject area of the application. Should a digital copy not be available, it can be replaced by handing in as many paper copies as the number of the members composing the panel.

**f)** Statement, that should corresponds to the Annex II of this Notice, in PDF format, dated and signed.

**4.3** Applicants belonging to the University of Minho are exempted from submitting supporting documents of the aforementioned requirements provided those documents are available in their personal file.

**4.4** The application and the documents that accompany the application must be delivered digitally, in PDF format, in Portuguese or in English, to the electronic email address [candidaturas-concursos@gpa.uminho.pt](mailto:candidaturas-concursos@gpa.uminho.pt). The subject of the email should indicate the Notice reference.

**4.5** The tender process can be consulted in the following address: Gabinete de Processos Académicos, Universidade do Minho, Building 02, 2nd floor, *Campus* de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga.

**4.6** A failure to comply with the indicated deadline for the submission of applications, a failure to submit or a late submission of the documents mentioned in point 4.2 (a) to (f) of this announcement, which are compulsory, will automatically result in the rejection of the application.

**4.7** Whenever deemed necessary, the panel may request additional documents related to the curriculum vitae presented by the applicant:

**a)** Additional documents do not introduce new elements, which are not mentioned in the curriculum vitae, nor do they replace any compulsory documents that the applicant may fail to submit on time;

**b)** All applicants shall be informed of the request to provide additional documents.

## **5 – Requirement for admission**

**5.1** In addition to the requirements mentioned in point 4, a prerequisite for admission to the tender, as set forth in article 19 of the Regulations, is to be the holder of a doctoral degree (PhD) in an area of knowledge or specialty deemed appropriate to the subject area for which the application was opened.

**5.2** If the doctoral degree was obtained in a foreign higher education institution, it must be recognized in Portugal, in accordance with the existing laws and regulations. This formality (the recognition of the degrees and academic titles obtained in a foreign higher education institution) must be completed no later than the indicated deadline established for the submission of applications.

**5.3** If the applicant is not a native speaker of Portuguese or English, (s)he is required to possess level C1 of the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) in at least one of the languages.

## **6 – Admission of applications**

**6.1** In the first meeting, which may be held via teleconference, the selection panel shall assess the admission of the application.

**6.2** Applications that comply with the requirements mentioned in points 4 and 5 shall be admitted according to the respective decision of the members of the panel.

**6.3** Failure to comply with the requirements mentioned in points 4 and 5 above will automatically result in the exclusion of the application. Applicants shall be notified of their exclusion to the e-mail referred to in the application, so as to be heard as interested parties, as stipulated in Article 121 et seq. of the Code of Administrative Procedure.

**6.4** Once the parties have been heard, the panel, at a subsequent meeting, shall assess the arguments presented at the hearing and approve the final list of the admitted and excluded applicants.

## **III – ASSESSMENT OF MERIT IN ABSOLUTE TERMS**

### **7 – Assessment of the applicants' merit in absolute terms**

**7.1** Following the stage of admission (point 6), the panel shall assess the applicants' merit in absolute terms.

**7.2** The applicants' merit in absolute terms shall be assessed on the basis of the overall quality of their curriculum vitae in the subject area of the tender, which should demonstrate their scientific and educational level, research skills, and developed activity, provided they are compatible with the subject area and category of the tender, and also considering the following requirements for each applicant:

- a)** The list of publications mentioned in point 4.2 (b) above must clarify whether the applicant has the necessary capacity to perform the duties associated with the category and area of the tender.
- b)** The candidate must have published, in the last three years, or definitively accepted for publication, at least one publication in the subject area of the tender, not counting publications that do not fall within the subject area of the tender and that are not published in journals indexed in the Journal of Citations Reports (in *Web of Science*).

**7.3** The absolute merit shall be expressed by using the words “admitted” or “excluded”.

**7.4** In case of failure of approval by merit in absolute terms, the panel must conduct a preliminary hearing of the excluded applicants so as to hear them as interested parties, as stipulated in Article 121 et seq. of the Code of Administrative Procedure.

**7.5** Once the parties have been heard, the panel, at a subsequent meeting, shall assess the arguments presented at the hearing and approve the final list of the applicants excluded and admitted by merit in absolute terms.

### **8 – Public hearing of the applicants**

**8.1** If deemed necessary, the jury shall hold public hearings of the applicants with the exclusive aim of clarifying the information contained in the applicants' application, on equal terms for all applicants.

**8.2** The date and length of public hearings shall be determined by the panel depending on the number of applicants.

**8.3** Applicants shall be informed of the decision to hold public hearings for them no less than 10 business days before the date set for such hearings.

## **IV – CURRICULUM ASSESSMENT**

### **9 – Criteria**

**9.1** The selection method consists in the assessment of the applicant's curriculum, by analysing the various documents that accompany the application to the tender, on the basis of which the jury shall assess the performance and the capacity to perform the duties associated with the category, area of the tender, thus proving the suitability of the profile of the applicant regarding the actual needs of the organic sub-unit, namely the reinforcement of its teaching team/staff, that justifies the opening of such a tender procedure.

**9.2** When assessing the applicant's curriculum vitae, the following criteria must be considered and weighted according to the requirements for the functions in the teaching category offered in this tender:

- a)** The applicant's scientific performance in the subject area of the tender.
- b)** The applicant's pedagogical skills, namely, where applicable, his/her prior pedagogical experience in the subject area of the tender.
- c)** Other relevant activities that have been developed by the applicant.

**9.3** In the assessment of the criterion foreseen in the subparagraph a) of previous number, merely quantitative procedures, based on indicators, on the publications counting, or on the calculation of their cumulative impact factors should not be adopted, and it should be assumed that the content of the scientific production is more relevant than the publication metrics or the entity that published it.

**9.4** The following weighting factors shall be assigned to the criteria indicated in the preceding point:

- a)** Scientific Performance (SP) - 60%.
- b)** Pedagogical Skills (PS) - 30%.
- c)** Other relevant activities (ORA) -10%.

### **10 – Assessment parameters**

**10.1** Under the criteria mentioned in point 9 above, the following parameters shall be assessed:

#### **a) Scientific performance**

SP1–Scientific or technological production, where open science practices should be valued: Quality and quantity of scientific or technological production (editorial activity, books, book chapters, publications in journals and conference minutes, poster communications, technical reports) in the subject area of the tender, namely those publications that have been selected by the candidate as most representative in point b) of point 4.2, assessed by its contribution to the generation of new knowledge based on new ideas and hypotheses and their relevance and impact, as well as by the recognition by the scientific community (reflected into citations and references made to them by other authors) and by open science practices (reflected by the availability of outcomes and data in open access).

SP2–Recognition by the scientific community and by society as a whole: Recognition by the national and international scientific community in the subject area of the tender and by society as a whole, manifested through scientific awards, scholarships, or distinctions, participation in the editorial boards of journals of renowned scientific

value, participation as member of scientific committees of conferences, participation as a reviewer of scientific or technological projects, and presentation of invited communications, namely abroad.

SP3–Coordination and participation in scientific, cultural creation or technological development projects: Quality and quantity of scientific projects, with national or international public funding, in the subject area of the tender and the results obtained therein. Special attention shall be given to projects coordinated by the applicant. When assessing the quality of the project, the following criteria must be considered: the type of funding; the level of demand and competitiveness of the competition; the evaluation of the projects.

SP4–Coordination, leadership, and promotion of research activity: Capacity to create, organize, and lead scientific teams in the subject area of the tender, namely the capacity to include post-doctoral and assistant researchers and research fellowships. Technical coordination (of technical commissions/committees, speciality colleges, thematic work groups, for example) in national or international technical, scientific, or professional institutions (such as scientific societies, standardisation bodies, or professional bodies) in the subject area of the tender. Degree of internationalisation that the applicant possesses in the subject area of the tender, which can be seen through the creation and organisation of scientific events, joint projects and publications, membership of networks of scientific cooperation, and internships in relevant foreign or multinationals scientific institutions.

SP5–Scientific dimension of the scientific and educational project mentioned in point 4.2 (c) above: Quality of the project in terms of (i) its appropriateness as to the objectives and learning outcomes of the degree in Economics (ii) its demonstrated capacity for converting research results into topics and activities susceptible to contribute to the advance of the chosen course units, (iii) the capacity of adjustment of the scientific dimension of said project to the challenges present in the subject area of the tender, and (iv) its global cohesion as a research project.

## **b) Pedagogical skills**

PS1–Teaching activity in higher education institutions: Teaching activity carried out by the applicant within the scope of the subject area of the tender, considering the number and diversity of the course units lectured as well as the mentoring of advance training, namely supervision of curricular projects and internships.

PS2–Teaching performance: Quality of the teaching activity carried out by the applicant within the subject area of the tender, which should be measured, when possible, through objective evidence based on the results of extensive surveys (pedagogical surveys).

PS3–Pedagogical innovation and enhancement: Coordination, organisation, and participation in projects that brought new approaches to topics and to activities that seek to develop relevant competences within the scope of the subject area of the tender. Quality and quantity of education publications (namely books, book chapters, publications in journals and conference minutes) in the subject area of the tender. Coordination, organisation, and participation in interinstitutional educational cooperation projects seeking to improve the quality of the learning and teaching processes in the subject area of the tender. Coordination, organisation, and participation in educational committees in relevant national or international institutions in the subject area of the tender. Participation in educational training activities in the subject area of the tender.

PS4–Production of educational material: Quality and quantity of the material and educational content, namely monographs, texts, teaching material, and other educational material produced by the applicant within the abovementioned parameter SP1.

PS5–Coordination and participation in educational projects: Coordination and participation in teaching projects within the abovementioned parameter SP1, namely (i) pedagogical direction and coordination (namely degree director, academic coordinator, or pedagogical coordinator), (ii) creation and reorganisation of study programmes (such as participation in the creation or reorganisation of already existing degrees or of obligatory course units' programmes), (iii) proposal and definition of optional course units.

PS6–Monitoring and mentoring of undergraduate/bachelor students, Master's Degree students, and PhD students: Capacity to provide a framework for undergraduate/bachelor students, Master's Degree students, and PhD students within the scope of the subject area of the tender bearing in mind the quality, quantity, and impact of the mentoring activities.

PS7–Educational dimension of the scientific and educational project referred to in point 4.2 (c) above: Quality of the project with regard to (i) its suitability to the objectives and learning outcomes of the degree in Economics (ii) its pedagogical coherence, namely its objectives, learning outcomes, content, teaching and learning activities, and forms of assessment, and (iii) the adequacy and rigour of the description considering the dimension of the characterisation of a course unit.

## **c) Other relevant activities**

ORA1–Provision of services to the scientific and educational community, as well as to the economic-productive sector and to society as a whole: Coordination and participation in the following activities that are: consulting activities or scientific or educational activities (such as technical-scientific consulting, expert opinion in judicial proceedings, participation in technical committees, or participation in educational evaluation committees). Coordination and participation as a professor in vocational courses or technological specialisation courses.

ORA2–Scientific, cultural, or technological dissemination through activities and publications: Coordination and participation in activities aimed at scientific, cultural, and technological dissemination (such as participation as a speaker in seminars or lectures aimed at non-specialised audiences, participation in discussion panels, or publication of articles for scientific dissemination).

ORA3–Valorisation and transfer of knowledge: Participation in the preparation of legislative acts and technical regulations. When assessing this parameter, one must consider the economic valuation of the research results within the scope of the subject area of the tender, which can be measured by the contracts of development they created.

ORA4–Academic assessment activities, namely regarding academic examinations and competitions: Participation in competitions and academic examinations (such as competitions and examinations towards progression in the academic career, aggregation, doctoral thesis, master's dissertations, bachelor's internships, admission to professional bodies, or specialist title). When assessing this parameter, participations as an examiner and participations outside the University must be considered.

ORA5–Participation in management activities in higher education or research institutions or in other scientific, technological or cultural entities that carry out such relevant activities: Holder of university management positions, namely participation in university governing bodies, coordination of research units or coordination of functional learning units, or equivalent positions in the national or international scientific and technological system. Holder of management positions in scientifically, technologically, and socially important national or international institutions (such as standardisation bodies or professional bodies).

**10.2** The following weighting factors shall be assigned to the parameters indicated in the preceding point:

Criteria	Parameter	Weight (%)
<b>Scientific performance</b> in the subject area of the tender	SP1–Scientific or technological production, where open science practices should be valued	40%
	SP2–Recognition by the scientific community and by society as a whole	10%
	SP3–Coordination and participation in scientific, cultural creation or technological development projects	5%
	SP4–Coordination, leadership, and promotion of research activity	5%
	SP5–Scientific dimension of the scientific and educational project mentioned in point 4.2 (c)	40%
<b>Pedagogical skills</b> , considering, where applicable, his/her prior pedagogical experience	PS1–Teaching activity in higher education institutions	40%
	PS2–Teaching performance	5%
	PS3–Pedagogical innovation and enhancement	10%
	PS4–Production of educational material	5%
	PS5–Coordination and participation in educational projects	5%
	PS6–Monitoring and mentoring of undergraduate students, Master's Degree students, and PhD students	5%
	PS7–Educational dimension of the scientific and educational project mentioned in point 4.2 (c)	30%
<b>Other relevant activities</b>	ORA1–Provision of services to the scientific and educational community, as well as to the economic-productive sector and to society as a whole	50%
	ORA2–Scientific, cultural, or technological dissemination through activities and publications	20%
	ORA3–Valorisation and transfer of knowledge	20%
	ORA4–Academic assessment activities, namely regarding academic examinations and competitions	5%

	ORA5–Participation in management activities in higher education or research institutions or in other scientific, technological or cultural entities that carry out relevant activities	5%
--	--	----

## **11 – Rationale for the final ranking of applicants**

**11.1** Each member of the jury shall present a written document, to be attached to the minutes of the meeting, which defines the final ranking and selection of the applicants, with a duly justified proposal regarding the ordering of the applicants (“sorting list”). This proposal shall take into consideration the criteria and parameters and their corresponding weighting factors described in this public notice.

**11.2** The final ranking of applicants proposed by each member of the panel shall be given on a scale of 0 to 100.

**11.3** The document mentioned in point 11.1 above must be duly substantiated so as to clearly identify the thinking process that led to the ordering of the applicants.

## **12 – Public hearing of the applicants**

**12.1** If deemed necessary, the panel will hold public hearings of the applicants with the exclusive aim of clarifying the information contained in the applicants’ curriculum vitae, on equal terms for all applicants.

**12.2** The date and length of those public hearings shall be determined by the panel depending on the number of applicants.

**12.3** Applicants shall be informed of the decision to hold public hearings not less than 10 working days before the date thereof.

## **V – RANKING AND SELECTION**

### **13 – Ranking and voting method**

**13.1** During the voting procedure to determine the final ranking of the applicants, that shall take place in a meeting, each member of the panel must vote, abstentions not being allowed, in accordance with his/her ordering of the applicants that should follow the assessment of the applicant’s curriculum vitae, i.e.:

- a)** In each voting procedure to determine the applicant that will occupy a given position in the final ranking of applicants, each member of the panel shall vote in the applicant that occupies the highest position in his/her ordering list, after excluding those that have already been placed in a given position in the final ranking.
- b)** In each voting procedure to determine the applicant that shall be excluded from the new sub-group of applicants that will be submitted to a new voting, each member of the panel shall vote for the applicant that, among those who are tied in the position of least voted, occupies the lowest position in his/her ordering list.

**13.2** The panel will use the following voting methodology for the formation of an absolute majority in the final ranking of applicants, with each member of the panel voting in accordance with the rules established in 13.1:

- a)** The first vote [voting methodology in accordance with the rules established in point 13.1 (a)] is to determine the applicant to be ranked first. Should an applicant receive more than half of the votes of the members of the panel present at the meeting, (s)he shall be placed first.
- b)** If that does not happen, the voting shall be repeated [voting methodology in accordance with the rules established in point 13.1 (a)], but only among the applicants with votes for first place, after excluding the least voted applicant during the first vote.
- c)** In case of a tie between two or more applicants for the position of the least voted, another voting will be held [voting methodology in accordance with the rules established in point 13.1 (b)] for those applicants who ranked last in order to break the tie and exclude the least voted applicant. If, following this restricted voting, there remains

a tie regarding the same set of applicants, the Chair of the panel will decide which applicant to exclude, as defined by the rules mentioned in points 13.3 and 13.4 below.

**d)** The process described in the preceding subparagraphs a) and b) shall be repeated until one applicant receives more than half of the votes for first place.

**e)** The process described in the preceding subparagraphs a) to d) shall be repeated to determine the applicant that will occupy the second place, once the members of the panel remove the applicant they placed first from their ordering list, and so on until a list with the ordering of all applicants has been achieved.

**13.3** If the Chair of the panel is a specialist in the disciplinary area of the application, and in case of a tie, the voting, in accordance with the rules established in point 13.2 above, shall be automatically cast in accordance with the president's vote, as set forth in article 50 (2)(a) of the Statute of the University Teaching Career (ECDU).

**13.4** If the Chair of the panel is not a specialist in the disciplinary area of the application, s(he) shall vote, in case of a tie, in accordance with the rules established in point 13.2 and using the following tie-breaking criteria:

- a)** Highest average of the final global scores given by the members of the panel;
- b)** Maintaining the tie, the average of the global scores attributed in the Scientific Performance criterion will be considered. For each tied candidate, the classification obtained in the "Scientific Performance" criterion results from calculating the average of the classifications awarded in this criterion by each members of the panel.

#### **14 – Notifications of the parties**

**14.1** Applicants will be notified, for the email address referred to in the application, of the provisional final ranking, so as to be heard as interested parties, in accordance with Article 121 et seq. of the Code of Administrative Procedure.

**14.2** Once the parties have been heard, the panel shall assess the arguments presented at the hearing and approve the final ranking list of the applicants.

#### **15 – Publication of the final decision**

**15.1** The final decision of the panel shall be given within a period of 90 consecutive days from the indicated closing date for the submission of applications.

**15.2** The final ranking list of the applicants shall be submitted for approval by the Rector of the University of Minho and the applicants shall be notified of the respective order of approval.

**15.3** This public notice is solely intended to fill in the positions mentioned and may be terminated by a duly substantiated act of the Rector, as long as the final ordering project has not yet been notified to the candidates and expires when the positions are occupied.

The Rector of the University of Minho

**ANNEX I**  
**Application form**

Dear Sir

Rector of the University of Minho

Name (...), date of birth (...), nationality (...), citizen's card holder (...) (...), resident in ... (...), mobile number (...), email address (...), academic qualifications (...), in the exercise of functions in \_\_\_\_\_, in the career and category of \_\_\_\_\_ (indicate when applicable), you are requested to accept the application for the international selection tender procedure for the recruitment of 1 (one) place of Assistant Professor in the area of Economics, according to Notice published in Diário da República no. \_\_, 2nd series, of \_\_ / \_\_ / \_\_, with ref.<sup>a</sup> (...).

The applicant declares that agrees to receive by electronic mail the communications and notifications resulting from the tender.

**Notice on the processing of Personal Data** (GDPR, article 13)

ATTENTION: All documents submitted, except the Application form, may be accessed by the opponents of the selection tender during the Hearing of interested parties. It is up to the applicant to make available only the information they agree to share in this way.

Scope of the Data Processing: International selection tender procedure for hiring a Professor.

Data Controller: University of Minho, Academic Processes Office.

Contacts of the Data Controller: candidaturas-concursos@gpa.uminho.pt.

Categories of personal data: All information submitted by the candidates through the application procedure.

Recipients of the personal data: University of Minho.

Purposes of the processing: According to the announcement: reception of applications; evaluation of applications; application of the selection methods; notification of the final ranking list to the candidates; hearing of interested parties; approval of the final ranking list by the Rector; notification of the approval order to the candidates.

Lawfulness: Processing is necessary for the performance of a contract to which the data subject is party or to take steps at the request of the data subject prior to entering a contract, GDPR article 6.b).

Data retention period: 1 year for documents from third parties presented by the applicant, such as proofs, certificates, and the like; 10 years for the remaining documents.

Rights of the Data Subject: right of access, right to rectification and the right to data portability; right to erasure, pursuant to article 17 of the GDPR; right to restriction of processing, pursuant to article 18 of the GDPR.

Exercise of rights: The data subject should seek to exercise their rights in the first instance from the Controller. If this proves to be a problem, they should turn to the Data Protection Officer.

Data Protection Officer Contacts: protecaodados@uminho.pt

Website: <https://www.uminho.pt/dataprotection>

(Place and date)

(Signature)

## **ANNEX II**

### Honor statement

I, (Name), applicant to the international selection tender procedure for the recruitment of 1 (one) place of Assistant Professor in the area of Economics, hereby declare, on my word of honor, that:

- a) If selected to occupy one of the contest's vacancies, I commit myself to fulfil the research and development activities in an FCT Research Unit promoted by the Department of Economics or one with which the Department is associated (in accordance with Rectoral Order 09/2018 of the 5th January).
- b) I am not inhibited from exercising public functions, nor forbidden from performing the duties for which I am applying. Moreover, I declare that I have the necessary physical robustness and psychological profile indispensable to the specific exercise of the duties described, and I am in compliance with the respective mandatory vaccinations.
- c) Giving false declarations shall determine the exclusion of this application to the recruitment procedure, notwithstanding its participation to competent authorities for the legally provided purposes;
- d) If placed in an eligible position on the final ranking of the applicants, I must fulfill the deadline set out by the relevant services regarding the delivering of additional documentation for the conclusion of the contract.
- e) The total or partial lack of documentation submission, referred to in the preceding paragraph, for causes imputable to me, implies the non-hiring.

(Place and date)

---

(Signature)